Wireless Networks



Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach Featuring the Internet,

3rd edition. Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Addison-Wesley, July 2004.

All material copyright 1996-2004 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Wireless Networks

Background:

- # wireless (mobile) phone subscribers now exceeds # wired phone subscribers!
- computer nets: laptops, palmtops, PDAs, Internet-enabled phone promise anytime untethered Internet access
- two important (but different) challenges
 - o communication over wireless link
 - handling mobile user who changes point of attachment to network

<u>Outline</u>

Introduction

Wireless

- Wireless links, characteristics
- IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("wi-fi")

Mobility

- Principles: addressing and routing to mobile users
- Mobile IP
- Mobility and higherlayer protocols

Summary







Characteristics of selected wireless link standards 802.11n 802.11{a,g} 802.11 {a,g} point-to-point link 1 Mbps 802.15







- Ad hoc mode
- no base stations
- nodes can only transmit to other nodes within link coverage
- nodes organize themselves into a network: route among themselves

Taxonomy

- Single-hop, infrastructure-based
 802.11 infrastructure mode, 802.16 WiMAX
- Single-hop, infrastructure-less
 802.11 ad hoc mode, Bluetooth
- Multi-hop, infrastructure-based
 - Some wireless sensor networks
- Multi-hop, infrastructure-less
 - Mobile/vehicular ad hoc networks

Wireless Link Characteristics

Differences from wired link

- decreased signal strength: radio signal attenuates as it propagates through matter (path loss)
- interference from other sources: standardized wireless network frequencies (e.g., 2.4 GHz for 802.11 {b,g}, Bluetooth, Zigbee) shared by other devices (e.g., US cordless phones, motors, microwaves)
- multipath propagation: radio signal reflects off objects ground, arriving ad destination at slightly different times

.... make communication across (even a point to point) wireless link much more "difficult"

Wireless network characteristics

Multiple wireless senders and receivers create undetectable collision problems



Hidden terminal problem
B, A hear each other
B, C hear each other
A, C can not hear each other
M, C can not hear each other



Signal fading:

- B, A hear each other
- □ B, C hear each other
- A, C can not hear each other interferring at B

Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA)

- Channel partitioning protocol
- used in several wireless broadcast channels (cellular, satellite, etc) standards
- unique "code" assigned to each user; i.e., code set partitioning
- all users share same frequency, but each user has own "chipping" sequence (i.e., code) to encode data
- encoded signal = (original data) X (chipping sequence)

$$O Z_{i,m} = d_i * c_m$$

□ *decoding:* inner-product of encoded signal and chipping sequence

$$ightarrow D_i = 1/M \sum Z_{i,m} * c_m$$

allows multiple users to "coexist" and transmit simultaneously with minimal interference (if codes are "orthogonal")

<u>Outline</u>

Introduction

Wireless

- Wireless links, characteristics
- IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("wi-fi")

Mobility

- Principles: addressing and routing to mobile users
- Mobile IP
- Mobility and higherlayer protocols

Summary

IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN

802.11b

- 2.4-5 GHz unlicensed radio spectrum
- up to 11 Mbps
- direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) in physical layer
 - all hosts use same chipping code
- widely deployed, using base stations

802.11a

- 5-6 GHz range
- up to 54 Mbps
- **802.11**
 - 2.4-5 GHz range
 - up to 54 Mbps
- All use Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance for multiple access
- All have base-station and ad-hoc network versions

802.11 LAN architecture



wireless host communicates with base station

- base station = access point (AP)
- Basic Service Set (BSS) (aka "cell") in infrastructure mode contains:
 - wireless hosts
 - access point (AP): base station
 - ad hoc mode: hosts only

802.11: Channels, association

802.11b: 2.4GHz-2.485GHz spectrum divided into 11 channels at different frequencies

- AP admin chooses frequency for AP
- interference possible: channel can be same as that chosen by neighboring AP!
- □ host: must *associate* with an AP
 - scans channels, listening for beacon frames containing AP's name (SSID) and MAC address
 - iwlist scan
 - o selects AP to associate with
 - o may perform authentication
 - iwconfig
 - o will typically run DHCP to get IP address in AP's subnet
 - dhclient

IEEE 802.11: multiple access

- avoid collisions: 2⁺ nodes transmitting at same time
- 802.11: CSMA sense before transmitting
 - \bigcirc don't collide with ongoing transmission by other node
- 802.11: no collision detection!
 - difficult to receive (sense collisions) when transmitting due to weak received signals (fading)
 - can't sense all collisions in any case: hidden terminal, fading
 - goal: avoid collisions: CSMA/C(ollision)A(voidance)





IEEE 802.11 MAC Protocol: CSMA/CA

802.11 sender

 if sense channel idle for DIFS then transmit entire frame (no CD)
 if sense channel busy then start random backoff time timer counts down while channel idle

transmit when timer expires

if no ACK, increase random backoff interval, repeat 2

<u>802.11 receiver</u>

- if frame received OK

return ACK after **SIFS** (ACK needed due to hidden terminal problem)



Avoiding collisions (more)

- *idea:* allow sender to "reserve" channel rather than random access of data frames: avoid collisions of long data frames
- sender first transmits *small* request-to-send (RTS) packets to BS using CSMA
 - RTSs may still collide with each other (but they're short)
- BS broadcasts clear-to-send CTS in response to RTS
- RTS heard by all nodes
 - sender transmits data frame
 - other stations defer transmissions

Avoid data frame collisions completely using small reservation packets!



802.11 frame: addressing



802.11 frame: addressing





802.11: mobility within same subnet

- H1 remains in same IP subnet: IP address can remain same
- switch: which AP is associated with H1?
 - self-learning: switch will see frame from H1 and "remember" which switch port can be used to reach H1
 - AP1 & AP2 usually share SSID, so H1 handles the handoff easily



802.15: personal area network

- less than 10 m diameter
- replacement for cables (mouse, keyboard, headphones)
- ad hoc: no infrastructure
- master/slaves:
 - slaves request permission to send (to master)
 - master grants requests
- 802.15: evolved from Bluetooth specification
 - 2.4-2.5 GHz radio band
 - up to 721 kbps



<u>Outline</u>

Introduction

Wireless

- Wireless links, characteristics
- IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("wi-fi")

Mobility

- Principles: addressing and routing to mobile users
- Mobile IP
- Mobility and higherlayer protocols

Summary

What is mobility?

spectrum of mobility, from the *network* perspective:



Mobility: Vocabulary



Mobility: more vocabulary



How do you contact a mobile friend:

Consider friend frequently changing addresses, how do you find her?

- search all phone books?
- call her parents?
- expect her to let you
 know where he/she is?

I wonder where

Alice moved to?

Mobility: approaches

- Let routing handle it: routers advertise permanent address of mobile-nodes-in-residence via usual routing table exchange.
 - routing tables indicate where each mobile located
 - no changes to end-systems
- Let end-systems handle it:
 - indirect routing: communication from correspondent to mobile goes through home agent, then forwarded to remote
 - direct routing: correspondent gets foreign address of mobile, sends directly to mobile

Mobility: approaches

Let routing handle if ters advertise permanent address of mobil not residence via usual scalable to millions of mobiles to millions of mobiles to mobiles the mobiles tere each mobile located
 no changes to end tems

Iet end-systems handle it:

- indirect routing: communication from correspondent to mobile goes through home agent, then forwarded to remote
- direct routing: correspondent gets foreign address of mobile, sends directly to mobile

Mobility: registration



End result:

- Foreign agent knows about mobile
- Home agent knows location of mobile

Mobility via Indirect Routing



Indirect Routing: comments

- Mobile uses two addresses:
 - permanent address: used by correspondent (hence mobile location is *transparent* to correspondent)
 - care-of-address: used by home agent to forward datagrams to mobile
- foreign agent functions may be done by mobile itself
- triangle routing: correspondent-home-networkmobile

inefficient when
 correspondent, mobile
 are in same network



Indirect Routing: moving between networks

- suppose mobile user moves to another network
 - registers with new foreign agent
 - new foreign agent registers with home agent
 - home agent update care-of-address for mobile
 - packets continue to be forwarded to mobile (but with new care-of-address)
- mobility, changing foreign networks transparent: on going connections can be maintained!

Mobility via Direct Routing



Mobility via Direct Routing: comments

- overcome triangle routing problem
- non-transparent to correspondent: correspondent must get care-of-address from home agent
 - what if mobile changes visited network?



<u>Accommodating mobility with direct routing</u>

- anchor foreign agent: FA in first visited network
- data always routed first to anchor FA
- when mobile moves: new FA arranges to have data forwarded from old FA (chaining)



<u>Outline</u>

Introduction

Wireless

- Wireless links, characteristics
- IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("wi-fi")

Mobility

Principles: addressing and routing to mobile users

Mobile IP

Mobility and higherlayer protocols

Summary

<u>Mobile IP</u>

- RFC 3344 for IPv4
- has many features we've seen:
 - home agents, foreign agents, foreign-agent registration, care-of-addresses, encapsulation (packet-within-a-packet)
- three components to standard:
 - indirect routing of datagrams
 - agent discovery
 - registration with home agent
- major security considerations

Mobile IP: indirect routing



Mobile IP: agent discovery

agent advertisement: foreign/home agents advertise service by broadcasting ICMP control messages (typefield = 9, router discovery)



Mobile IP: registration example



<u>Wireless</u>, mobility: impact on higher layer protocols

Iogically, impact should be minimal ...

- Dest effort service model remains unchanged
- TCP and UDP can (and do) run over wireless, mobile
- ... but performance-wise:
 - packet loss/delay due to bit-errors (discarded packets, delays for link-layer retransmissions), and handoff
 - TCP interprets loss as congestion, will decrease congestion window un-necessarily
 - O delay impairments for real-time traffic
 - Iimited bandwidth of wireless links



Wireless

- wireless links:
 - capacity, distance
 - channel impairments
 - O CDMA

□ IEEE 802.11 ("wi-fi")

 CSMA/CA reflects wireless channel characteristics

Mobility

- principles: addressing, routing to mobile users
 - home, visited networks
 - direct, indirect routing
 - care-of-addresses
- case study
 - mobile IP
- impact on higher-layer protocols