## Syntax

# The study of the rules whereby words or other elements of sentence structure are combined to form grammatical sentences. 

The American Heritage Dictionary

## Syntactic analysis

- The purpose of the syntactic analysis is to determine the structure of the input text;
- The syntactic structure is defined by a grammar.


## Example of syntactic analysis

## $4+12 / 3$

Lexical Analysis
(Number 4) (Operator + ) (Number 12) (Operator /) (Number 3)
Syntactic Analysis


## Semantic analysis

It is the evaluation of the meaning of each (terminal and non-terminal) symbol, achieved by evaluating the semantic attributes either in ascending or descending order.


## What is a parser

- A parser is a program that performs syntactic analysis.
- It can typically be:
- LL (left to right-leftmost); or
- LR (left to right-rightmost).
- LL parsers can be constructed by hand or automatically.
- LR parsers are usually too complex to be constructed manually.


## bison: a parser generator

- bison is a free implementation of yacc (originally by AT\&T) that comes standard with most Unix distributions; yacc is the absolute standard compiler compiler;
- Learn more on bison at the following address: www.gnu.org/software/flex/flex.html
bison is free, and distributed under the terms of GNU General Public License (GPL).
- A useful book to understand bison is:

John Levine, Tony Mason \& Doug Brown
lex \& yacc, 2nd Edition
O’Reilly

## Designing a parser with bison and flex



## 5 easy steps to build a parser

- Specify the tokenizer in flex format.
- Specify the grammar in bison format.
- Write the desired semantic actions associated to each syntax rule.
- Write the controlling function.
- Write the error-reporting function.


## The format of bison grammars

```
%{
    C definitions
%}
    bison definitions
%%
    Grammar Rules
%%
    C user code
```

    Comments enclosed in \(/ * * /\) may appear in any of the sections.
    
## A first example

A Reverse Polish Notation calculator.
Grammar Rules:
S $\rightarrow$ S E $\mid$ epsilon
$\mathrm{E} \rightarrow$ number
$E \rightarrow E E+|E E-|E E *| E E /|E E \wedge| E n$

## An RPN Calculator in bison

## Definitions

$\%\{$
\#define YYSTYPE double
\#include <math.h> \%\}
\%token NUM
\%token OP_PLUS
\%token OP_MINUS
\%token OP_MUL
\%token OP DIV
\%token OP_EXP
\%token UN_MINUS
\%token NEWLINE
\%\%

```
input: /* empty */
    | input line
    ;
line: NEWLINE
    | exp NEWLINE { printf ("\t%.10g\n", $1); }
    ;

\%\%

\section*{Driver and error routines}
```

int yyerror(char * s){
printf("%s\n",s);
}

```
```

int main(){
yyparse();
}

```

\section*{Bison definitions and grammar rules}
- Hot to define a token (a terminal symbol): \%token TOKEN_NAME
- How to define a grammar rule:

S : A1 ... An \{ semantic action \}
| B1 ... Bm \{ semantic action \}
- How semantic actions are specified, and values treated:
- The semantic value of the non-terminal in the left-hand side of the production is referred as \(\mathbf{\$ \$}\)
- The semantic values of the symbols in the right-hand side are referred as \$1...... \(\mathbf{\$ n}\)
- The default semantic action is \(\{\mathbf{\$ \$}=\mathbf{\$ 1} ;\}\)


\section*{The trace of a parser execution}

Stack States
```

    2
    ```
    \(+\)
    3
    \(+\)
    2

\section*{Integration with flex}
- Compile the parser source with -d option.
- bison outputs a file named name . tab.h, which contains the token definitions and the type definition for return values.
- The above file should be included in the flex input; YYSTYPE should be defined (the type of tokens’ semantic values).
- The lexical actions must store the semantic value of each token in the global yylval variable (declared in generated header file).

\section*{Example of integration}
rpn.tab.h
\#ifndef YYSTYPE \#define YYSTYPE int \#endif
\#define NUM 257
\#define OP_PLUS 258
\#define OP_MINUS 259
\#define OP_MUL 260
\#define OP_DIV 261
\#define OP_EXP 262
\#define UN_MINUS 263
\#define NEWLINE 264
extern YYSTYPE yylval;

\section*{rpn.lex}
```

%{
\#define YYSTYPE double
\#include "rpn.tab.h"
\#include <stdlib.h>
%}
%option noyywrap
DIGIT [0-9]
BLANKS [ \t]
%%
{BLANKS}+
"+" return OP_PLUS;
"-" return OP_MINUS;
"/" return OP_DIV;
"*" return OP_MUL;
"^" return OP_EXP;
"n" return UN_MINUS;
"\n" return NEWLINE;
{DIGIT}+
{DIGIT}*"."{DIGIT}+ { yylval=atof(yytext);
return NUM;}

```

\section*{An infix notation calculator}
- Grammar rules:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& S \rightarrow(S) S|S+S| S-S|S * S| S / S|S \wedge S|-S \\
& S \rightarrow \text { number }
\end{aligned}
\]
- This grammar is ambiguous: there are sentences which can be derived in multiple ways, e.g. \(2+2 * 2\).


\section*{How to resolve ambiguity}

Either rewrite the grammar in a nonambiguous form:
\[
\begin{array}{ll|l|l|l}
S \rightarrow S+E & S & E & E \\
E \rightarrow E / M & E * M & M \\
M \rightarrow T \wedge M & -T & T
\end{array}
\]
\(\mathbf{T} \rightarrow\) number | (S)
or use operator precedence declarations provided by bison

\section*{Infix notation calculator in bison}

\section*{Definitions}
\%\{
\#define YYSTYPE double
\#include <math.h>
\%\}
\%token NUM
\%token LP
\%token RP
\%token NEWLINE
/* operator precedence */
\%left OP PLUS OP MINUS
\%left OP_MUL OP_DIV
\%left NEG
\%right OP_EXP
\%\%
```

input: /* empty */
| input line
;
line: NEWLINE
| exp NEWLINE { printf ("\t%.10g\n", \$1); }
exp: NUM
| exp OP_PLUS exp

    { $$ = $1; }
    exp OP_PLUS exp { $$ = $1 + $3; }
    | exp OP_MINUS exp { $$ = $1 - $3; }
    exp OP_MUL exp { $$ = $1 * $3;
    | exp OP_DIV exp { $$ = $1 / $3; }
    /* Unary minus */
    | OP_MINUS exp %prec NEG { $$ = -$2; }
    /* Exponentiation */
    | exp OP_EXP exp { $$ = pow($1,$3); }
    | LP exp RP { $$ = $2 }
    ```
\%\%

\section*{Driver and Error routines}
```

int yyerror(char * s){
printf("%s\n",s);
}

```
    int main()\{
    yyparse();
\}

\section*{Operator associativity}
- Consider the following sentence: "a op b op c", (where op is an operator);
- Should the above expression be interpreted as "(a op b) op c" or as "a op (b op c)" ?
- This depends on the operator associativity:

Parsetree if op is left-associative
Parsetree if \(o p\) is right-associative


\section*{Operator precedence}

\section*{a OP_PLUS b OP_MUL c OP_PLUS d}


\section*{Operator precedence declarations}

Available declaration forms:
- \%right op
specifies right-associativity of operator op;
- \%left op
specifies left-associativity of operator op;
- \%nonassoc op
specifies no associativity: "a op b op c" must be considered a syntax error.

\section*{Operator precedence}
- All the operators declared in the same precedence declaration have equal precedence, and nest together according to their associativity:
e.g.: \%left OP_PLUS OP_MINUS
- Operators declared later have the higher precedence and are grouped first: e.g.: \%left OP_PLUS OP_MINUS \%left OP_MUL OP_DIV

\section*{Context-dependent precedence}
- Often, the precedence of an operator depends on the context, e.g. unary minus:

\author{
OP_MINUS a OP_MUL b OP_MINUS c
}
(the first OP_MINUS has higher precedence than OP_MUL which, in turn, has higher precedence than the second OP_MINUS)


\section*{Context-dependent precedence}
- Declare a precedence for a fictitious terminal symbol as follows:
\%left '+' ‘-'
\%left ‘*' ‘/'
\%left UMINUS
- Now the precedence of UMINUS can be used in specific rules, as follows:
```

expr : ...
| expr '+' expr
'-' expr %prec UMINUS

```
;

\section*{Operator precedence resolution}
- First, a precedence is assigned to each declared operator, then each rule containing those operators is assigned the same precedence as the last declared symbol in rule;
- Conflicts are resolved by comparing the precedences of the look-ahead symbol and of the rule.

\section*{Operator precedence resolution}
- If the look-ahead has the higher precedence, bison chooses to shift, otherwise to reduce.
- If rule and look ahead have the same level of precedence, bison makes a choice based on associativity:
- left means reduce
- right means shift

\section*{Infix Notation Calculator with variable storage}
- Grammar Rules
\[
s \rightarrow(S) S|S+S| S-S \mid S * S
\]
| S/s | S^s | -s
\(S \rightarrow\) number | variable \(\mathrm{S} \rightarrow\) variable \(=\mathrm{S}\)

\section*{Infix Notation Calculator with variable storage in bison}

\section*{Definitions}

\section*{\%\{}
\#include <math.h>
\#include "calc.h"
\%\}
\%union \{
double val;
symrec * tptr;
\}
\%token NEWLINE
\%token LP
\%token RP
\%token <val> NUM
\%token <tptr> VAR
\%type <val> exp
\%right EQ
\%left OP_MINUS OP_PLUS
\%left OP_MUL OP_DIV
\%left NEG
\%right OP_EXP

\section*{Grammar Rules}
input:
/* empty */
| input line
;

\section*{line:}
```

NEWLINE
| exp NEWLINE { printf ("\t%.10g\n", \$1); }
| error NEWLINE { yyerrok;

```
;
```

exp: NUM { \$\$ = \$1; }

    | VAR { $$ = $1->var; }
    | VAR EQ exp { $$ = $3; $1->var = $3;}
    | exp OP_PLUS exp { $$ = $1 + $3; }
    | exp OP_MINUS exp { $$ = $1 - $3; }
    | exp OP_MUL exp { $$ = $1 * $3;
    | exp OP_DIV exp { $$ = $1 / $3;
    | OP_MINUS exp %prec NEG { $$ = -$2;
    | exp OP_EXP exp { $$ = pow ($1, $3);
    | LP exp RP
    ```
    ;

\section*{Semantic Values}
- Sometimes, more than one semantic value type is needed;
- In bison this is achieved by the directive \%union \{ type1 field1;
typen fieldN;
\}

\section*{Semantic Values (2)}
- All the terminal and non-terminal symbols can have only one of the possible type for its own semantic value.
- Non terminals:
\%type <field_x> <token>
- Terminals:
\%token <field_x> <token>
- All:
\$<field_x>\$
\$<field_x>1

\section*{Error recovery}
- When a syntactically incorrect input is encountered, two different behaviors are possible:
1. Stop the parsing immediately, notify a syntax error and call yyparse( ) again.
2. Try to recover the error and continue the parsing.

\section*{Error recovery (2)}
- The first solution is more convenient in an interactive parser.
- The second solution is more convenient in a parser which takes a source file as an input.
- Error recovery in bison is achieved by adding a rule recognizing the special token 'error' and calling function yyer rok () in the semantic action.

\section*{Shift-reduce conflicts}
- Suppose our grammar contains the following productions:
if-st: IF expr THEN stmt
। If expr then stmt else stmt
- When LA=ELSE the parser could:
- reduce the four symbols (IF, expr, THEN, stmt) on top of the stack, according to the first rule; Or
- shift the ELSE symbol on top of the stack;
- This is the classic "dangling else" conflict.

\section*{Shift-reduce conflicts (2)}
- The 'reduce' behavior associated the ELSE symbol with the outermost IF.
- The 'shift' behavior associates the ELSE symbol with the innermost IF (this is the default behavior).
- The first case of 'dangling else’ appears in the specifications of the Algol 60 programming language.

\section*{Useful options}

\section*{- YYACCEPT}
it pretends that a valid language sentence has been read; it causes yyparse ( ) to immediately return 0 (success), ignoring the rest of the input; - YYABORT
it causes yyparse( ) to immediately return 1 (failure), ignoring the rest of the input;```

